IN TI	HE UNITED STATE	S PATE	NT AN	D TRAD	EMARK OFF	ICE	
In re Application of:	Michael B. FREEMAN et al.			RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE			
Application Serial No.:	09/464,784			Examiner: Chow, C.			
Filing Date:	December 17, 1999			Group Art Unit: 2684 Corres. and Mail			
Attorney Docket No.: Client Docket No.:	09710-1004 COS-99-034					XC	
For: APPARATUS AN <b>Box AF</b> , DIRECTOR OF Washington, DC 20231	ND METHOD FOR M			LL BILLI		NOV 1	8 2002 Center 2600
<b>3</b> . <b>,</b>	AMENDMEN	NT TRAN	SMIT	TAL LE	TTER	•	
Transmitted herewith is a	n amendment in the al	bove-iden	tified ap	pplication	n.		
FEE CALCULATION	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	1 1 -		T NUMBER PAID FOR	NO. OF EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONA FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	31	MINUS	<del></del>	31	0	\$18	\$ 0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	4	MINUS		4	0	\$84	\$ 0.00
to the Office Ao an extension of	by made under 37 CFF ction of August 21, 20 ction of Two months (\$400)	002 to and	d throug	th <u>Noven</u>	nber 14, 2002, f	for	\$ 0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE DUE:							\$ 0.00
Applicant	claims small entity sta	itus. See	37 CFR	§ 1.27.	-		
No additio	nal fee is required.						
Payment o	of \$ by Credit Card	. Form P	TO-203	8 is attac	ched.		
The Direct community filing feet	tor is hereby authorized to a credit any or	zed to ch verpayme 1.16 for	arge pa nt to D present	yment o eposit A	f any fees asso	_, includi	ing any
			F	Respectfi	ılly submitted,		
I hereby certify that this correspondence and all correspondence DITTHAVONG & CARLSON,						LSON, P	.C.

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all correspondence identified as accompanying this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on November 14, 2002.

\_\_\_\_\_\_, Date:

Date: November 14, 2002

Stephen C. Carlson Reg. No. 39929 O1 P0 2464,784

NOV 1 4 2002

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Reply under 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

Application No.:

Application of:

Michael B. FREEMAN et al.

Examiner:

Chow, C.

Filed:

December 17, 1999

Group Art Unit: 2684

Attorney Docket No.:

09710-1004

09/464,784

Client Docket No.:

COS-99-034

For:

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR MANAGING CALL BILLING RECORDS

**BOX AF** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

NOV 1 8 2002

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116

**Technology Center 2600** 

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the final Office Action of August 21, 2002, in which claims 1-31 are pending. For the sake of convenience, all pending claims are set forth in the Appendix.

The final Office Action mailed August 21, 2002 rejected claims 1-4 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on *Brouckman et al.* (US 6,134,307) in view of the newly-cited *Heindel et al.* (US 6,304,857), claims 5-7 and 27-31 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of the commonly-assigned *Witzman et al.* (US 5,737,399), claims 6-7 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of *Doherty et al.* (US 5,333,184), claims 8-10 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of *Kay et al.* (US 5,757,894), claims 11-16 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of *Herbert* (US 5,333,183), claims 17-23 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of *Liu et al.* (US 5,898,780) and *Wang* (US 5,991,746), and claims 24-26 over *Brouckman et al.* and *Heindel et al.* in view of *Jaiswal et al.* (US 6,002,754).

09/464,784 Patent

The rejection of claims 1-31 is respectfully traversed because neither *Brouckman et al.*, *Heindel et al.*, nor the other applied references teach or suggest the features of the claims. For example, independent claim 1 recites:

- 1. An apparatus for managing call billing records for users of a signaling network operative to carry user calls, comprising:
  - a gateway interfacing the signaling network with an Internet Service Provider and a local exchange carrier and operative to collect call billing data from the signaling network in a first data structure format; and
  - a network processor operative to:
  - receive the collected call billing data in the first data structure format from the gateway,
  - convert the collected call billing data from the first data structure format to a second data structure format, and
  - transmit the call billing data in the second data structure format to a data network for billing processing by a co-carrier access billing system for settlement with the Internet Service Provider and the local exchange carrier.

Independent claims 10, 17, and 22 also recite "billing processing by a co-carrier access billing system for settlement with the Internet Service Provider." Provision of the recited functions for collecting, converting, transmitting, and settling call billing data advantageously addresses problems in conventional Internet Service Provider (ISP) billing solutions that require expensive switch features, considerable floor space, or heavy expenditures. (See specification, pp.1-2 and 7)

None of the references, however, teach or otherwise suggest this feature. Specifically, the primary reference, *Brouckman et al.*, is directed to converting call events received from a satellite-based communications system 100 for distribution to different entities around the world, so that proper billing can be performed (Abstract, and FIG. 1). *Brouckman et al.*, however, fails to teach or suggest "transmitting the call billing data in the second data structure format to a data network for billing processing by a co-carrier access billing system for settlement with the

09/464,784 Patent

Internet Service Provider and the local exchange carrier," as set forth in independent claims 1, 10, 17, and 22.

Not finding the claimed billing processing for settlement in *Brouckman et al.*, the Office Action attempts to rely on *Heindel et al.* for the missing feature. *Heindel et al.* describes a distributed electronic billing system that allows "a biller to create and design a customized billing statement." However, *Heindel et al.* fails to have any disclosure of any Internet Service Provider (ISP) much less "billing processing by a co-carrier access billing system for settlement with the Internet Service Provider" as recited in the claims. The lengthy citations of *Heindel et al.* do not address this ISPs, being directed instead to local exchange carriers.

The remaining references also fail to disclose the missing feature. Specifically, *Witzman et al.* describes centralized storage and verification elements (SAVE) that provide raw data filtered of extraneous data so as to be useful to downstream clients. In addition, *Doherty et al.* is directed to call message recording for telephone systems, *Kay et al.* to a virtual foreign exchange service, *Herbert* to an MDR data record collection and reporting system, *Liu et al.* to a method and system for authorizing remote Internet access, *Wang* to a billing system using a modified file transfer protocol, and *Jaiswal et al.* to billing formatter for telephone systems, but these all do not disclose the bill settlement with an ISP. Furthermore, there is no motivation but impermissible hindsight to cobble together these diverse references, picking and choosing arbitrary elements to meet the recited claims.

Dependent claims 2-9, 11-16, 18-21, and 23-31 are allowable for at least the same reasons as their independent claims and are separately patentable on their own merits. For example, claims 4 and 12-13 recite that "the network process is further operative to poll the gateway to collect the collected call billing data." However, both *Brouckman et al.* at col. 4:38-40 (which merely states that billing records are created, not polled) and *Herbert et al.* at col. 28:22-31

09/464,784 Patent

(which does not disclose any polling of any gateway) both cited in the Office Action fail to disclose this feature as precisely claimed.

Therefore, the present application, as amended, overcomes the rejections of record and is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested. If any unresolved issues remain, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned attorney at (703) 425-8508 so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully Submitted,

DITTHAVONG & CARLSON, P.C.

11/14/2002

Stephen C. Carlson

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39929

10507 Braddock Road Suite A Fairfax, VA 22032

Tel. 703-425-8516

Fax. 703-425-8518